

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,531	07/0	1/2003	Andrew Kerr	Kerr-5 CIP 3	5255	
1218	7590	02/03/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CASELLA & HESPOS 274 MADISON AVENUE BLANCO, JAVIER G					JAVIER G	
NEW YORK				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			3738		

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(v
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
	10/612,531	KERR, ANDREW	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Javier G. Blanco	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will be peri	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The specification is in condition for allow	nis action is non-final.	ers, prosecution as to the meri	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 7/1/2003 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected ne drawing(s) be held in abeyarection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume * See the attached detailed Office action for a line 	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	9
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Definences of Particle Province Region (PTO 048)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/27/2004</u>. 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment of claim 7 in the reply filed on August 4, 2005 is acknowledged.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Applicant's election of **stent/graft:** Species O (Figures 25-29), **end-to-end connection:** Species A (Figures 1 and 2), and **deployment:** Species B (Figures 38-40) in the reply filed on August 4, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 3. Claim 6 (end-to-end connection: Species C, embodied in Figure 4A) is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on August 4, 2005.

Priority

4. Claims 1-5 and 7 are directed to subject matter (i.e., stent/graft assembly having a predeployment orientation in which second ends of the graft and stent extend in opposite direction, and having a post-deployment orientation in which said second ends of said graft and stent extend in a common direction) that was introduced for the first time in the present application, filed July 1st, 2003. It should be noted that a "substantially end-to-end relationship/connection"

between a graft and a stent in a stent/graft assembly is subject matter well known in the art. Thus, the effective filing date for the subject matter of claims 1-5 and 7 is July 1st, 2003.

Drawings

- 5. With some Figures (e.g., Figure 16) it is very difficult to discern between a stent and a graft.
- 6. Figure 40 was not provided in the instant application (the Examiner assumes Figure 40 depicts the post-deployment orientation of stent/graft assembly 810, or, the final step(s) of deployment of stent/graft assembly 810).
- 7. Figure 39 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because it fails to show the relationship between first bifurcated leg 815-1 in relation to second bifurcated leg 815-2 as described in the specification (see paragraph 0107). It is very difficult to distinguish between first bifurcated leg 815-1 and second bifurcated leg 815-2. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d).

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the

Application/Control Number: 10/612,531

Art Unit: 3738

renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

- 8. Claims 1 and 2 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- a. Regarding claim 1, please substitute "relationship" (see line 4) with --connection-- (as shown in claim 1 at line 6: "end-to-end connection"). Appropriate correction is required.
- **b.** Regarding claim 2, please substitute "first surface" (see line 3 and line 4) with --first peripheral surface--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tanner et al. (US 5,944,750 A).

Referring to Figures 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 3-7, and 9, Tanner et al. disclose a stent/graft assembly (e.g., either proximal stent/graft 10, distal stent/graft 20, or tubular stent/graft 3). Said

Art Unit: 3738

assembly comprising a substantially tubular graft (e.g., Figures 1B and 2B: stent/graft 3 has a main body and bifurcated legs) having opposite first (i.e., main body) and second ends (i.e., bifurcated legs) and a substantially tubular stent (Figure 5: stent 30; see column 9, lines 32-44) having opposite first (end facing bifurcated legs) and second (end facing or exposed to the vessel) ends. The graft could be either cylindrical (Figures 3 and 4) or bifurcated (Figures 1A, 1B. 2A, and 2B). Said first end of said stent being connected in a substantially end-to-end connection with said first end of said graft, said assembly having a pre-deployment orientation (e.g., Figure 1B) in which said second ends of the graft and stent extend in opposite direction from the substantially end-to-end connection, and having a post-deployment orientation (e.g., Figure 2B: bifurcated legs are invaginated) in which said second ends of said graft and stent extend in a common direction from the substantially end-to-end connection between said graft and said stent. A peripheral surface of said graft is in substantially face-to-face engagement with an outer circumferential surface of said stent in said post-deployment orientation, and the second end of the graft extending axially beyond the second end of the stent in said post-deployment orientation (see, for example, Figure 2B).

Page 5

It should be noted that the attachment cuff (characters 12 and 21) is disclosed as an attachment means (see column 8, lines 62-67; column 9, lines 11-18), and could be interpreted as a stent having a flared end and a tubular end in end-to-end relationship with the main body of a bifurcated graft. As disclosed throughout the document, once said attachment means (i.e., cuff or stent) is firmly secured to the vessel wall, the graft is then invaginated.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,531 Page 6

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanner et al. (US 5,944,750 A).

Tanner et al. disclose the invention as claimed in claims 1-4 and 7 (see 102(b) rejection above). Although Tanner et al. disclose the second end of the graft as extending axially beyond the second end of the stent in said post-deployment orientation (see, for example, Figure 2B), they did not particularly disclose the second end of the stent as extending axially beyond the second end of the graft in said post-deployment orientation. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have extended the second end of the stent axially beyond the second end of the graft in said post-deployment orientation, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Einstein*, 8 USPQ 167. It would also have been obvious to extend said second end of the stent beyond the second end of the graft in view of the advantage of better attachment/affixation of the stent to the wall of the blood vessel.

Page 7 Application/Control Number: 10/612,531

Art Unit: 3738

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javier G. Blanco whose telephone number is 571-272-4747. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 a.m.-4:00 p.m.), first Friday of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

JGB

October 15, 2005

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700